

Comment

Consultee Mrs Veronica Harland (1171254)
Email Address [REDACTED]
Address [REDACTED]
Event Name Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by Mrs Veronica Harland (1171254)
Comment ID DBLPMod658
Response Date 30/11/21 12:30
Status Processed
Submission Type Email
Version 0.6

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

*** Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM 14

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant? No

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Skerningham Garden Village development undermines this policy and modification as it is a car dependent development which will therefore not contribute to the achievement of the national commitment of net zero carbon and greenhouse gas emissions by 2050. The cutting down of trees and the destruction of open green spaces to build a car dependent development will not have a net zero effect. It will just add to emissions.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Skerningham Development should be removed from the Local Plan altogether in order to make the plan sound and legally compliant.

Comment

Consultee Mrs Veronica Harland (1171254)
Email Address [REDACTED]
Address [REDACTED]
Event Name Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by Mrs Veronica Harland (1171254)
Comment ID DBLPMod659
Response Date 30/11/21 12:30
Status Processed
Submission Type Email
Version 0.5

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

*** Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM 61 & 62

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant? No

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

Council studies have shown that there could be an extra 5,437 vehicles per day using Whinfield's local transport network alone

The plan mentions mitigation on Barmpton Lane, Whinbush Way and Whinfield Rod but provides no detail on how this will be done.

The main proposed vehicular access point for the Skerningham Development is Barmpton Lane parts of which are unsuitable in providing a main access point and no amount of mitigation will be able to improve the layout of the road to safely reduce the impact of this development

Paragraph 4 of the National Planning Policy Framework July 2021 says that transport issues should be considered at the earliest stages of the plan process so that they may be addressed.

The modified plan does not go far enough in addressing the transport issue which will be created as a result of the Skerningham Garden Village development.

The Darlington Northern Link Road was supposed to alleviate existing pressure on the A1150 however, this is now not happening. Those pressures still exist and will be added to from the Skerningham Garden Village development. This is not a sustainable development

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Skerningham Development should be removed from the Local Plan altogether in order to the make the plan sound and legally compliant.

Comment

Consultee Mrs Veronica Harland (1171254)
Email Address [REDACTED]
Address [REDACTED]
Event Name Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by Mrs Veronica Harland (1171254)
Comment ID DBLPMod660
Response Date 30/11/21 12:30
Status Processed
Submission Type Email
Version 0.4

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

*** Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM65 & MM66

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant? No

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

Publicly accessible community woodland, wildlife friendly natural space, sport and recreational facilities and allotment **already** exist in Whinfield & Skerningham for the community. Why are some of these existing attributes being destroyed to make way of a 4,500 housing development which by it's very nature will not be to provide the level of facilities which are currently enjoyed? Instead, it will all be part of one big housing estate.

This is not consistent with either the Healthy New Town or Garden Communities principles or objectives.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Skerningham Development should be removed from the Local Plan altogether in order to the make the plan sound and legally compliant.