

Comment

Consultee	Mr John Dixon (1250915)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Mr John Dixon (1250915)
Comment ID	DBLPMod510
Response Date	29/11/21 14:42
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.5

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

*** Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM14

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant? No

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be

as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

- The Skerningham Garden village undermines this policy and modifications as it is a car dependant development which will therefore not contribute to the achievement of the national net zero carbon and greenhouse gas emission by 2050. .
- Cutting down trees and destroying open green spaces to build a car dependant development will not have a net zero effect, in fact will only add to it.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We would therefore recommend that in order to make the Plan sound and legally compliant that the Skerningham Development is removed from the Local Plan altogether.

Comment

Consultee	John Dixon (1300472)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	John Dixon (1300472)
Comment ID	DBLPMod511
Response Date	29/11/21 14:46
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.5

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1 MM61 MM62

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant? No

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

- Council studies have shown that they could be over 5400 additional vehicles per day using the existing local council road network in Whinfield alone.
- Barmpton Lane is the proposed main access point to the Skerningham development, Barmpton Lane is a residential road, parts of which are totally unsuitable in providing the main access point and no amount of mitigation will improve the safe access on this road and will increase the very real health and safety of residents and road users.
- The Plan mentions mitigation on Barmpton Lane , Whinbush Way and Whinfield Road but doesn't provide information on how this will be achieved We would ask the question of how do you mitigate the substantial increase in carbon monoxide fumes in an already built up area?
- The National Planning Policy Framework of July 2021 para 104 says that 'Transport issues should be considered at the earliest stages of the plan process so that they may be addressed'. This is not happening leaving residents unsure of the effect of the substantial increase in traffic.
- The modified Plan does not go far enough in addressing the transport issues which will be created as a result of the Skerningham Garden Village Development.
- The Darlington North ring road was supposed to alleviate existing pressures on the A1150 (Whinfield Road) this is now not happening in the foreseeable future, those pressures still exist and will be added to from the Skerningham Development.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We would therefore recommend that in order to make the Plan sound and legally compliant that the Skerningham Development is removed from the Local Plan altogether.

Comment

Consultee John Dixon (1300472)
Email Address [REDACTED]
Address [REDACTED]
Event Name Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by John Dixon (1300472)
Comment ID DBLPMod512
Response Date 29/11/21 14:48
Status Processed
Submission Type Email
Version 0.5

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

*** Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM65 MM66

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant? No

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

- Publicly accessible Community Woodland, wildlife friendly natural spaces, sport and recreation facilities and allotments already exist in Whinfield and Skerningham for the community. Why are we planning to destroy some of the existing attributes to make way for a 4,500 housing development, which by its very nature will not be able to provide the level facilities we currently enjoy? Instead we will be all part of one big housing estate!
- This is not consistent with either the Healthy New Towns or Garden Village principles or objectives.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We would therefore recommend that in order to make the Plan sound and legally compliant that the Skerningham Development is removed from the Local Plan altogether.