

## Comment

Consultee	Mr Oliver Coles (1300617)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Mr Oliver Coles (1300617)
Comment ID	DBLPMod563
Response Date	29/11/21 20:00
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.5

### Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to?	Main Modification Schedule *
---	------------------------------

* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1	MM 14
--	-------

### Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation?	Object
--	--------

### Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant?	No
---	----

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound?	No
---	----

### Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

This development goes against the Climate change act, which requires local plans to contribute to the National Target. Destroying trees and building houses (most of which will end up with 2 or 3 cars) will only increase emissions as opposed to a net zero effect by 2050.

#### **Question 5**

**Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

In order to make the local plan sound & legal we think the Skertingham Development should be removed from the Local Plan.

## Comment

**Consultee** Mr Oliver Coles (1300617)  
**Email Address** [REDACTED]  
**Address** [REDACTED]  
**Event Name** Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications  
**Comment by** Mr Oliver Coles (1300617)  
**Comment ID** DBLPMod564  
**Response Date** 29/11/21 20:00  
**Status** Processed  
**Submission Type** Email  
**Version** 0.4

### Question 1

**Which document/modification does this representation relate to?** Main Modification Schedule \*

**\* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM 61 & 62

### Question 2

**What best describes the nature of your representation?** Object

### Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

**Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant?** No

**Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound?** No

### Question 4

**Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.**

National Planning Policy framework states transport issues to be considered at the EARLIEST stages of plan but only now is mitigation being discussed.

No detail on mitigation for Barmpton Lane, Whimbush Way & Whinfield Road.

None of these access points seem feasible.

The huge transport issues (over 5000 vehicles per day according to studies) are not being looked into enough as a result of this development.

None of this makes sense. How can these transport problems be discussed now - when the first (common sense) step would be to complete the Northern Link Road first to take pressure off the A1150. Cart before the horse.

## **Question 5**

**Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

In order to make the local plan sound & legal we think the Skerningham Development should be removed from the Local Plan.

## Comment

Consultee	Mr Oliver Coles (1300617)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Mr Oliver Coles (1300617)
Comment ID	DBLPMod565
Response Date	29/11/21 20:00
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.4

### Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to?	Main Modification Schedule *
---	------------------------------

* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1	MM65 & MM66
--	-------------

### Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation?	Object
--	--------

### Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant?	No
---	----

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound?	No
---	----

### Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

The proposed area of development already has wonderful easily accessible mature woodland, proper wildlife friendly spaces ( not the odd token piece of grass),recreational facilities & allotments. How can destroying this be in line with "Healthy New Towns or Garden Communities principles & objectives.

#### **Question 5**

**Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

In order to make the local plan sound & legal we think the Skertingham Development should be removed from the Local Plan.