

Comment

Consultee	Philip Wraith (1299425)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Philip Wraith (1299425)
Comment ID	DBLPMod131
Response Date	19/11/21 14:00
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2
Question 1	

Which document/modification does this representation relate to?	Policies Map Modification Schedule *
---	--------------------------------------

* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1	PM 15
--	-------

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation?	Support
--	---------

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound?	No
---	----

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

Support: Green Wedges Policies Map:

Green Wedge Blackwell Grange Parkland: AP20. Council to amend the policies map to extend the Green Wedge designation so that it corresponds to the boundary of the Parkland with the built up area to the West and North. (Grangeside, Blackwell Lane, Upsall Drive and Grange Road).

Alterations to Blackwell/Skerne Park and Haughton/Red Hall Green Wedge boundaries Retain the openness and green infrastructure functions of:

The Green Wedges of Cocker Beck/Mowden, Blackwell/Skerne Park and Haughton/Red Hall. Policy to protect and enhance the landscape character, setting and identity of the area.

It is important for the area to be retained as parkland for residents to use as a recreation area. I like many others use it to walk and admire the trees many of which are mature and should be maintained.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Triangle of land at Blands Corner should be included within the parkland.

Comment

Consultee	Philip Wraith (1299425)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Philip Wraith (1299425)
Comment ID	DBLPMod188
Response Date	19/11/21 16:47
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1 MM191

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

I object to MM191 Paragraph C Removal of Wildlife site and biodiversity - but I support the restoration of the parkland.

I find the parkland a place to walk and enjoy the peaceful surroundings.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I would like the red boundary to be extended and right across the triangle. Please do not remove the wildlife site from the triangle.

Comment

Consultee	Philip Wraith (1299425)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Philip Wraith (1299425)
Comment ID	DBLPMod189
Response Date	19/11/21 16:47
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to?	Policies Map Modification Schedule *
---	--------------------------------------

* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1	PM2
--	-----

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation?	Support
--	---------

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

Support:Policies Map marking the Blackwell Parkland along with 10 other Urban and Rural Parklands:The following Green Infrastructure Designations described in Policy ENV3 have been added:
Historic Routes – Rural Gaps – Parklands

“Retaining and improving the special landscape, heritage and ecological qualities of urban and rural parklands at South Park, North Lodge Park, West Cemetery, Blackwell Grange, Rockcliffe Park, Middleton Hall, Walworth Castle, Redworth Hall, Hall Garth, Newbus Grange and Neasham Hall”.

Boundary of the Blackwell Parkland along the built up area to the West and North: Grangeside Blackwell Lane, Upsall Drive and Grange Road.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

n/a