

Comment

Consultee	Mr Colin Raine (1163622)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Mr Colin Raine (1163622)
Comment ID	DBLPMod552
Response Date	29/11/21 21:30
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
Question 1	

Which document/modification does this representation relate to?	Main Modification Schedule *
--	------------------------------

* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1	MM25
---	------

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation?	Object
---	--------

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant?	No
--	----

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound?	No
--	----

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be

as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

This particular modification removes all references to sustainability & sustainable developments.

If the plan's objectives in relation to the Climate Change Act set out in MM9 aim6 are to be taken seriously then why wouldn't we want to encourage further housing site proposals to comprise of sustainable development. Support the national commitment for net zero carbon and greenhouse gas emissions by 2050 through the continued move towards a low net zero carbon community by encouraging efficient use of resources, good design and well-located development, whilst increasing resilience to impacts from climate change

Surely, 'good design' should have sustainability at its core.

The amendments to remove references to sustainability from MM9 also seem to be contradicted in MM10 'A positive approach to considering development proposals will be taken that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development'.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Re-instate all references to sustainability in Mod. ref MM25

Comment

Consultee Mr Colin Raine (1163622)

Email Address [REDACTED]

Address [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Event Name Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications

Comment by Mr Colin Raine (1163622)

Comment ID DBLPMod559

Response Date 30/11/21 07:03

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.3

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

*** Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM130,133,134,135 PM2,PM3

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant? No

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be

as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

The 'green corridors' are not defended robustly enough, they have only been defined with dotted lines on the map which means that there could be ambiguities argued over during any development proposals that could encroach on these corridors. It is not possible to protect a spatial asset that is not properly defined.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Green Corridors should be properly & clearly defined on the map, precise dimensions should be shown so as to avoid any possibility of future dispute.

Comment

Consultee Mr Colin Raine (1163622)

Email Address [REDACTED]

Address [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Event Name Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications

Comment by Mr Colin Raine (1163622)

Comment ID DBLPMod561

Response Date 30/11/21 08:46

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.3

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

*** Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM24

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant? No

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be

as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

PolicyH1-table 6.1 has been amended to increase the housing requirement for Hurworth from 625 to 816. This increase goes against assurances that were given at the Draft Local Plan Consultation held at Hurworth Grange, where villages were told by DBC planning that other than existing developments at the two sites on Roundhill road there would not be any further, major housing developments in the parish.

This assurance was given as an encouragement to residents to support the local plan.

The assurance has subsequently turned out to be false.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Re-instate the original housing requirement of 625 for Hurworth.

Comment

Consultee Mr Colin Raine (1163622)

Email Address [REDACTED]

Address [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Event Name Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications

Comment by Mr Colin Raine (1163622)

Comment ID DBLPMod699

Response Date 30/11/21 16:51

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

*** Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM24, MM25

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 3 Legally Compliant and Sound

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Legally Compliant? No

Do you consider the Plan, incorporating the proposed Modification is Sound? No

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be

as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

At the first public consultation of the Draft Local Plan given at Hurworth Grange, residents were given assurances that Hurworth would not suffer from over development & indeed were told that Hurworth had fared very well as far as new housing allocation was concerned & with this in mind residents were encouraged to give their support to the Draft Plan & not to oppose it, in order to ensure its smooth & quick passage through into a finished plan.

We now learn that Hurworth has been singled out for further development & a huge increase in its housing allocations, an increase of around 23%.

Based on the misleading advice & false assurances given at the initial public meeting I consider the revised housing allocation figures to be unsound.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Housing Allocation for Hurworth should not be increased.