

Comment

Consultee	Gill Naisby (1174705)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	na na na
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Gill Naisby (1174705)
Comment ID	DBLPMod404
Response Date	26/11/21 14:17
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.4

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

*** Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1** MM14

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

The Skerningham Garden Village Developemnt undermines this policy and modification as it is a car dependent development which will therefore not congruete to the achievement of the national commitment of net zero carbon and greenhouse gas emissions by 2050. Cutting down trees and destroying open green spaces to build a car dependent development will not have a net zeroeffect. In fact it will just add to emmissions.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We would therefore recommend that in order to make the Plan sound and legally compliant that the Skerningham Development be removed from the Local Plan altogether

Comment

Consultee	Gill Naisby (1174705)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	na na na
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Gill Naisby (1174705)
Comment ID	DBLPMod405
Response Date	26/11/21 14:17
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.5

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1 MM61 and MM62

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

Council studies have shown that there could be an extra 5437 vehicles per day using the local transport network in Whinfield area.

The plans mention mitigation on Barmpton Lane Whinbush way and Whinfield Road but does not provide and detail on how this will be done

Barmpton Lane is the proposed Main vehicular access point for the Skerningham Development pats of which are unsuitable in providing a main access point and no amount of mitigation will be able to improve the layout of the road to safely reduce the impact of this development

The National Planning Policy Framework July 2021 para 104 says that the transport issues should be considered at the Earliest stages of the plan process so that they may be addressed

The modified plan does not go far enough in addressing the transport issues which will be created as a result of the Skerningham GV development

The Darlington NorthernLink Road was supposed to alleviate existing pressures on the A1150- this is now not happening yet those pressures still exist and will be added to from the Skerningham Development . This is not a sustainable development.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We would therefore recommend that in order to make the Plan sound and legally compliant that the Skerningham Development be removed from the Local Plan altogether

Comment

Consultee	Gill Naisby (1174705)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	na na na
Event Name	Local Plan 2016-2036 Proposed Modifications
Comment by	Gill Naisby (1174705)
Comment ID	DBLPMod406
Response Date	26/11/21 14:17
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.6

Question 1

Which document/modification does this representation relate to? Main Modification Schedule *

* Please provide the reference number (where applicable), for example MM1, PM1, MIN1 MM65 & MM66

Question 2

What best describes the nature of your representation? Object

Question 4

Please give details of why you consider the Darlington Local Plan, incorporating the proposed Modifications to which your representation relates, is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the Plan or comment on the Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum/Habitats Regulations Screening please also use this box to set out your comments.

Publicly accessible community woodland wildlife friendly natural spaces, spot and recreational facilities and allotments already exist in Whinfield and Skerningham for the community. Why are we destroying some of these existing attributes to make way for a 4500 housing development which by its very nature will not be able to provide the level of facilities we currently enjoy. Instead it will all be part of one big housing estate.

This is not consistent with either the Healthy New Towns or garden communities principles of objectives.

Question 5

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound and why, thinking about what you identified in Question 4. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording or any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We would therefore recommend that in order to make the Plan sound and legally compliant that the Skerningham Development be removed from the Local Plan altogether