

Comment

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Consultee | Mr Dan Naisbitt (1250828) |
| Email Address | [REDACTED] |
| Address | [REDACTED] |
| Event Name | Darlington Local Plan 2016-2036 (Regulation 19) |
| Comment by | Mr Dan Naisbitt (1250828) |
| Comment ID | DBLPPS151 |
| Response Date | 14/09/20 13:10 |
| Consultation Point | Policy H 10 Skerningham - Strategic Site Allocation (Strategic Policy) (View) |
| Status | Processed |
| Submission Type | Email |
| Version | 0.3 |
| Question 2 | |

Do you consider that this part of the Local Plan is unsound because it is not: (tick all that apply)

Question 3a

Your Comments

Please give details of why you consider that this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate.

Hi I would just like to point out my disappoint at the choice to build on this woodland area. As someone of age 30 who is currently struggling to even be able to buy a house via mortgage in the current market (Despite having a 20000£ deposit) I don't understand the logic of potentially building another 1000+ houses? You realise that mortgage companies with us now being in a RECESSION are expecting 40% deposits plus currently? This green area helped masses of people keep their sanity during the local lockdowns we experienced early summer - and to rip them down for housing it totally unacceptable and I cannot fathom the logic.