

Comment

Consultee	Mrs Janine Lee (1169858)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Darlington Local Plan 2016-2036 (Regulation 19)
Comment by	Mrs Janine Lee (1169858)
Comment ID	DBLPPS335
Response Date	17/09/20 11:45
Consultation Point	Policy H 10 Skertingham - Strategic Site Allocation (Strategic Policy) (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4
Question 1a	
Do you consider that the Local Plan is Legally compliant?	No
Question 1b	
Do you consider that the Local Plan sound?	No
Question 1c	
Do you consider that the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Question 2	
Do you consider that this part of the Local Plan is unsound because it is not: (tick all that apply)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">. Positively prepared. Effective. Justified. Consistent with national policy
Question 3a	
Your Comments	

Please give details of why you consider that this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate.

I believe the Local Plan in respect to H10 Skerningham does not meet the local needs for housing. The housing number's as of June 2020 state that Darlington has enough houses for the next 15yrs to date.

The proposed housing on Skerningham is not the type of housing that is needed, i.e. affordable, bungalows.

I object to the loss of green field, and agricultural land been lost to housing when we have not exhausted all brownfield sites within Darlington.

I object to the loss of bridleways, hedgerows, and the destruction and felling of thousands of mature trees which are home to a long list of species on red list for a golf course.

It is my belief that the Local Plan does not incorporate the climate change act 2008.

Another 4500 houses to the north of Darlington will be urban sprawl with reliance on cars using the already congested A1150.

Skerningham is the last accessible piece of countryside to the north of Darlington which is used by the local community.

During lockdown it was a haven and used by many for their health & wellbeing.

Question 4

Changes Sought

***Please Note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. There is the opportunity to attach Word or PDF files before submitting your comment.*

After this stage, further submissions will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues identified for examination.

Please set out what change(s) to the Local Plan you consider necessary to make it legally compliant or sound. You will need to say why this change will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for any policy or text.

I believe the relocation of the golf course should be removed from the plan. Destroying green field and mature trees are irreplaceable and any re-planting of trees would take 30 years+ to mature. We already have a beautiful mature golf course now.

I believe Skerningham Garden Village should be removed from the Local Plan, as it is not needed. Local roads are already heavily congested and this huge development will be reliant on vehicles using these roads.

Question 5

Attendance at Examination Hearings

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate in the examination hearings?

No, I do not wish to participate at the examination hearings

Question 6

Do you request to be notified that the Local Plan has been submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination under section 22(3) of the

Yes, I request to be notified

**regulations and to be notified of the adoption of the
Local Plan?**