

Comment

Consultee	Beryl Burdess (1171428)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Event Name	Darlington Local Plan 2016-2036 (Regulation 19)
Comment by	Beryl Burdess (1171428)
Comment ID	DBLPPS227
Response Date	16/09/20 10:57
Consultation Point	Policy H 10 Skertingham - Strategic Site Allocation (Strategic Policy) (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.7

Question 2

Do you consider that this part of the Local Plan is unsound because it is not: (tick all that apply)

Question 3a

Your Comments

Please give details of why you consider that this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate.

I strongly oppose the proposed housing development at Skertingham Garden Village.

As a local resident the reasons being:-

- 1 Traffic
- 2 Services
- 3 Environment

It has been mentioned many times that traffic is a major issue in this area. Salters Lane is a nightmare. In a matter of nine minutes fifty six lorries and vans alone went past.

The hospitals, doctors and dentists can't cope with the population as it stands now.

What will happen to the wildlife?

4,500 houses could mean at least 9,000 cars, possibly 18,000 people and an over load to an already stretched hospital.

It has been mentioned that it will bring people into the town centre. Our town centre has nothing to offer. Shops and services are closing rapidly even without COVID.

Question 5

Attendance at Examination Hearings

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate in the examination hearings?

No, I do not wish to participate at the examination hearings

Question 6

Do you request to be notified that the Local Plan has been submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination under section 22(3) of the regulations and to be notified of the adoption of the Local Plan?

Yes, I request to be notified