

Comment

Consultee Alan Burdess (1171426)

Email Address [REDACTED]

Address [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Event Name Darlington Local Plan 2016-2036 (Regulation 19)

Comment by Alan Burdess (1171426)

Comment ID DBLPPS329

Response Date 16/09/20 10:40

Consultation Point Policy H 10 Skerningham - Strategic Site Allocation (Strategic Policy) ([View](#))

Status Processed

Submission Type Email

Version 0.4

Question 2

Do you consider that this part of the Local Plan is unsound because it is not: (tick all that apply)

Question 3a

Your Comments

Please give details of why you consider that this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate.

I object to the proposed development for the following reasons.

The road from the top of North Road to Great Burdon is extremely busy, There are times of the day when it takes me 20 minutes to drive less than one mile. Put another 1000 or so cars on that stretch and it will become the biggest car park in town.

Hospitals, doctors etc, with potentially another 4500 people, assuming only one person per household, how will these services cope?

Schools, how many more secondary school students need educating, the local schools are already struggling to cope with what we already have in the area.

Fields and open spaces, build at Skerningham and we will lose acres of open space, green land.