

## Comment

**Consultee** Rose Austin Moore (1250832)

**Email Address** [REDACTED]

**Address** [REDACTED]

**Event Name** Darlington Local Plan 2016-2036 (Regulation 19)

**Comment by** Rose Austin Moore (1250832)

**Comment ID** DBLPSS162

**Response Date** 11/09/20 13:56

**Consultation Point** Policy H 10 Skerningham - Strategic Site Allocation (Strategic Policy) ([View](#))

**Status** Processed

**Submission Type** Email

**Version** 0.7

**Question 2**

**Do you consider that this part of the Local Plan is unsound because it is not: (tick all that apply)**

**Question 3a**

**Your Comments**

**Please give details of why you consider that this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate.**

I am writing to complain about the building of 4,500 houses around the area where we live, the traffic in darlington is just horrendous at certain times of the day and to add another 6000 plus more cars is just going to lead to more congestion. Whoever thought out this ridiculous plan obviously does not live in this area, we have very little greenery left over this side of town and you are now prepared to get rid of it to build your houses on. I urge DBC to reconsider this idiotic idea and cancel it completely ASAP. Please do something right for a change.

**Question 6**

**Do you request to be notified that the Local Plan has been submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination under section 22(3) of the regulations and to be notified of the adoption of the Local Plan?** Yes, I request to be notified