

Comment

Consultee Miss Gill Thackray (1250892)

Email Address [REDACTED]

Address [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Event Name Darlington Local Plan 2016-2036 (Regulation 19)

Comment by Miss Gill Thackray (1250892)

Comment ID DBLPPS495

Response Date 17/09/20 12:41

Consultation Point Road Network ([View](#))

Status Processed

Submission Type Email

Version 0.3

Question 1b

Do you consider that the Local Plan sound? No

Question 2

Do you consider that this part of the Local Plan is unsound because it is not: (tick all that apply) Justified

Question 3a

Your Comments

Please give details of why you consider that this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate.

The Local Plan states that – To improve key junctions serving the area from the A66 Darlington bypass and the A1 to avoid levels of predicted traffic congestion that will prevent regeneration of employment area.

I object to this as the link road from the proposed northern link road is to allow access into Skerningham strategic site allocation and potential further housing development. Therefore the congestion will only be due to the large housing estate and not for new employment opportunities except for initial building of housing. Once the houses are built that employment will disappear.

The Local Plan states – although congestion in and around Darlington is less than in many other parts of Britain new development planned across the region will add significantly to trips on the strategic and local highway network.

I object to this as the only new development proposed is a large housing estate of 4,500 houses which will impact on congestion. The large number of houses proposed needs to be spread over the Darlington Borough taking into account Brownfield sites despite the additional costs. The removal of viable agricultural land (barley, wheat, oil seed rape and land to support the rearing of livestock) is not acceptable. The spreading of the housing throughout the borough would reduce the amount of congestion on the roads as it would not be concentrated in a area such as the proposed Skerningham housing estate.

The Local Plan states – The site has the potential for employment at the sound east corner of the site close to A66 Little Burdon roundabout. However the plan already makes sufficient provision for employment needs from the existing employment sites and new allocations of Central Park, Ingenium Parc and Greater Faverdale.

I object to the proposal that the Northern link road would be required to reduce congestion due to employment as Central Park is between Haughton Rd and Yarm Rd and is close to the centre of Darlington, Ingenium Parc is East of the centre of Darlington and traffic / congestion would be eased by the A66 leading onto the A1M, Greater Faverdale is at the north west of Darlington and has access to the A1M via the A68. None of these allocated employment areas would impact on congestion to the east of Darlington in the Whinfield, Great Burdon and Skerningham area except for housing.

Question 5

Attendance at Examination Hearings

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate in the examination hearings?

No, I do not wish to participate at the examination hearings

Question 6

Do you request to be notified that the Local Plan has been submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination under section 22(3) of the regulations and to be notified of the adoption of the Local Plan?

Yes, I request to be notified

Comment

Consultee Miss Gill Thackray (1250892)

Email Address [REDACTED]

Address [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Event Name Darlington Local Plan 2016-2036 (Regulation 19)

Comment by Miss Gill Thackray (1250892)

Comment ID DBLPPS496

Response Date 17/09/20 12:48

Consultation Point Policy H 10 Skerningham - Strategic Site Allocation (Strategic Policy) ([View](#))

Status Processed

Submission Type Email

Version 0.3

Question 1b

Do you consider that the Local Plan sound? No

Question 2

Do you consider that this part of the Local Plan is unsound because it is not: (tick all that apply) Justified

Question 3a

Your Comments

Please give details of why you consider that this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate.

The Local Plan states that – To improve key junctions serving the area from the A66 Darlington bypass and the A1 to avoid levels of predicted traffic congestion that will prevent regeneration of employment area.

I object to this as the link road from the proposed northern link road is to allow access into Skerningham strategic site allocation and potential further housing development. Therefore the congestion will only be due to the large housing estate and not for new employment opportunities except for initial building of housing. Once the houses are built that employment will disappear.

The Local Plan states – although congestion in and around Darlington is less than in many other parts of Britain new development planned across the region will add significantly to trips on the strategic and local highway network.

I object to this as the only new development proposed is a large housing estate of 4,500 houses which will impact on congestion. The large number of houses proposed needs to be spread over the Darlington Borough taking into account Brownfield sites despite the additional costs. The removal of viable agricultural land (barley, wheat, oil seed rape and land to support the rearing of livestock) is not acceptable. The spreading of the housing throughout the borough would reduce the amount of congestion on the roads as it would not be concentrated in a area such as the proposed Skertingham housing estate.

The Local Plan states – The site has the potential for employment at the sound east corner of the site close to A66 Little Burdon roundabout. However the plan already makes sufficient provision for employment needs from the existing employment sites and new allocations of Central Park, Ingenium Parc and Greater Faverdale.

I object to the proposal that the Northern link road would be required to reduce congestion due to employment as Central Park is between Haughton Rd and Yarm Rd and is close to the centre of Darlington, Ingenium Parc is East of the centre of Darlington and traffic / congestion would be eased by the A66 leading onto the A1M, Greater Faverdale is at the north west of Darlington and has access to the A1M via the A68. None of these allocated employment areas would impact on congestion to the east of Darlington in the Whinfield, Great Burdon and Skertingham area except for housing.

Question 5

Attendance at Examination Hearings

If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate in the examination hearings? No, I do not wish to participate at the examination hearings

Question 6

Do you request to be notified that the Local Plan has been submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination under section 22(3) of the regulations and to be notified of the adoption of the Local Plan? Yes, I request to be notified