

Comment

Consultee	Margaret & Stephen Tarren (1250883)
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED]
Event Name	Darlington Local Plan 2016-2036 (Regulation 19)
Comment by	Margaret & Stephen Tarren (1250883)
Comment ID	DBLPPS240
Response Date	16/09/20 14:31
Consultation Point	Policy H 10 Skerningham - Strategic Site Allocation (Strategic Policy) (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Email
Version	0.5
Question 2	

Do you consider that this part of the Local Plan is unsound because it is not: (tick all that apply)

Question 3a

Your Comments

Please give details of why you consider that this part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or unsound or fails to comply with the Duty to Cooperate.

I am writing to urge you to take into account of what will be lost if the Local Plan is to go ahead. Skerningham woods will be lost, all trees and hedgerows will be removed, all local wildlife deer, foxes, badgers, hedgehogs, all species of birds to which there is a wide range, toads, newts, dragon flies, moths, bats, butterflies, field mice, all will disappear along with the tranquility of the country side. We will then in turn lose any history that has been discovered over the years from the salt run. There are also graves in this area, one who was an ecologist. The roads in the area can not cope with all of the traffic that would be using them to and from Skerningham Village, as well as all the air pollution. Our eco system will be totally lost in this area which is also valuable for mental health and to be replaced with more houses. There are still areas in Darlington where houses can be built or buildings that can be converted in the town centre or just outside of it instead of them just stood deteriorating. Future generations need to be able to enjoy the country side and all that it gives with it. I urge you to take this into account when you make your decision.